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## The FEniCS computing platform

FEniCS is a popular open-source (LGPLv3) computing platform for solving partial differential equations (PDEs). FEniCS enables users to quickly translate scientific models into efficient finite element code. With the high-level Python and C++ interfaces to FEniCS, it is easy to get started, but FEniCS offers also powerful capabilities for more experienced programmers. FEniCS runs on a multitude of platforms ranging from laptops to high-performance clusters.

- FEniCS is a software for solving PDEs via the finite-element method
- FEniCS is an international open source software and research project
- FEniCS is user-friendly: estimated $10^{3}-10^{4}$ users world-wide
- FEniCS is efficient: parallel performant up to (at least) 25000 cores.


## FEniCS provides automated generation of bases for a wide range of finite element spaces

```
from dolfin import *
# Import meshes
mesh = Mesh("cable.xml")
subdomains = MeshFunction("size_t", mesh,
    "cable_vf.xml")
# Definemintite element spaces
V = FunctionSpace(mesh, "CG", 1)
u = Irialrunction(V)
v = TestFunction(V)
T = Function(V)
# Problem specific variables
f= Expression("cos(x[0])*exp(sin(x[1]))", degree=3)
lmb = Expression("...", degree=3)
T_ex = 20.
c = 0.01
# Define variational form
a = inner(lmb*grad(u), grad(v)) *dx+u*v*ds-c*u*v*dx
l = f*v*dx+T_ex*v*ds
# Solve a(T,v) = l(v) with respect to T
solve(a == l, T)
```


## FEniCS provides an expressive form language close to mathematical syntax

```
from dolfin import *
# Import meshes
mesh = Mesh("cable.xml")
subdomains = MeshFunction("size_t", mesh,
            "cable_vf.xml")
# Define finite element spaces
V = FunctionSpace(mesh, "CG", 1)
u = TrialFunction(V)
v = TestFunction(V)
T = Function(V)
# Problem specific variables
f= Expression("cos(x[0])*exp(sjM(x[1]))", degree=3)
lmb = Expression("...", degrep=3)
T_ex = 20.
c = 0.01
# Derlne variational form
a= inner(lmb*grad(u), grad(v))*dx+u*v*ds-c*u*v*dx
    =f*v*dx+T_ex*v*ds
# Solve a(T,v) = I(v) with respect to T
solve(a == 1, T)
```


## FEniCS provides automated form evaluation and assembly of the linear system



## Mixed-dimensional methods ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ Cecile Daversin-Catty and Marie E. Rognes. "Automated abstractions for Mixed-Dimensional Finite Element Methods". In: Preparation ().

## Multi-physics problems require efficent mixed-dimensional and mixed domain coupling: emerging features in FEniCS!



[C. Daversin-Catty, cecile@simula.no]

## Multi-physics problems require efficent mixed-dimensional and mixed domain coupling: emerging features in FEniCS!

# W = V(Omega_H) x V(Omega_H U Omega_T)

# W = V(Omega_H) x V(Omega_H U Omega_T)

V = FunctionSpace(mesh, "Lagrange",1) \# Heart + Torso
V = FunctionSpace(mesh, "Lagrange",1) \# Heart + Torso
H = FunctionSpace(submesh_heart, "Lagrange",1) \# Heart
H = FunctionSpace(submesh_heart, "Lagrange",1) \# Heart
W = FunctionSpaceProduct(H,V)
W = FunctionSpaceProduct(H,V)

# v, psi_v in V(Omega_H)

# v, psi_v in V(Omega_H)

# u, psi_u in V(Omega_H U \Omega_T)

# u, psi_u in V(Omega_H U \Omega_T)

(v,u) = TrialFunction(W)
(v,u) = TrialFunction(W)
(psi_v,psi_u) = TestFunction(W)
(psi_v,psi_u) = TestFunction(W)

# Integration on the heart domain Omega_H

# Integration on the heart domain Omega_H

dH = Measure("dx",domain=W.sub_space(Q).mesh())
dH = Measure("dx",domain=W.sub_space(Q).mesh())

# Integration on the whole domain Omega_H U Omega_T

# Integration on the whole domain Omega_H U Omega_T

dV = Measure("dx", domain=W.sub_space (1).mesh())
dV = Measure("dx", domain=W.sub_space (1).mesh())

# Variational formulation

# Variational formulation

A = v*psi_v*dH
A = v*psi_v*dH
+ th*dt*Mi*inner(grad(v),grad(psi_v))*dH
+ th*dt*Mi*inner(grad(v),grad(psi_v))*dH
C = (dt/th)*(Mi+Me)*inner (grad}(u),\operatorname{grad}(psi_u))*dV
C = (dt/th)*(Mi+Me)*inner (grad}(u),\operatorname{grad}(psi_u))*dV
B = dt*Mi*inner (grad (u),grad(psi_v)) *dH
B = dt*Mi*inner (grad (u),grad(psi_v)) *dH
BT = dt*Mi*inner (grad (v),grad}(psi_u))*d
BT = dt*Mi*inner (grad (v),grad}(psi_u))*d
a=R_B+BT
a=R_B+BT
L = c + d
L = c + d
sol = Function(W)
sol = Function(W)
solve(a == L, sol)
solve(a == L, sol)

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
B^{T} & C
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
v \\
u
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
c \\
d
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\phi_{H}^{i}: V\left(\Omega_{H}\right) \text { basis functions }
$$

$$
\phi_{H T}^{i}: V\left(\Omega_{H} \cup \Omega_{T}\right) \quad \text { basis functions }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{i j} & =\int_{\Omega_{H}} \phi_{H}^{j} \phi_{H}^{i}+\theta \Delta t \int_{\Omega_{H}} M_{i} \nabla \phi_{H}^{j} \cdot \nabla \phi_{H}^{i} \\
B_{i j} & =\Delta t \int_{\Omega_{H}} M_{i} \nabla \phi_{H}^{j} \cdot \nabla \phi_{H T}^{i} \\
C_{i j} & =\frac{\Delta t}{\theta} \int_{\Omega_{H}}\left(M_{i}+M_{e}\right) \nabla \phi_{H T}^{j} \cdot \nabla \phi_{H T}^{i} \\
& +\frac{\Delta t}{\theta} \int_{\Omega_{T}} M_{T} \nabla \phi_{H T}^{j} \cdot \nabla \phi_{H T}^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Multi-physics problems require efficent mixed-dimensional and mixed domain coupling: emerging features in FEniCS!


Multi-physics problems require efficent mixed-dimensional and mixed domain coupling: emerging features in FEniCS!


Multi-physics problems require efficent mixed-dimensional and mixed domain coupling: emerging features in FEniCS!


Multi-physics problems require efficent mixed-dimensional and mixed domain coupling: emerging features in FEniCS!


# CUT Finite Element Methods: MultiMesh ${ }^{2}$ 

[^0]The computational domain is represented by an arbitrary number of overlapping meshes


August Johansson et al. "Finite Element Methods for Arbitrary Many Intersecting Meshes: Multimesh". In: Preparation ().

A finite element function space is introduced on each indvidual mesh, ignoring completely covered cells


August Johansson et al. "Finite Element Methods for Arbitrary Many Intersecting Meshes: Multimesh". In: Preparation ().

We illustrate the method by considering the stationary heat equation with a reaction coefficient

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\nabla \cdot(\lambda \nabla T)-c T & =f & & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\lambda_{e x} \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}+\left(T-T_{e x}\right) & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}} \\
{[T]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}^{1} \\
{\left[\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\right]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}^{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Distribution of the source $f$ in the computational domain.

We illustrate the method by considering the stationary heat equation with a reaction coefficient

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\nabla \cdot(\lambda \nabla T)-c T & =f & & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\lambda_{e x} \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}+\left(T-T_{e x}\right) & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}} \\
{[T]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}^{1} \\
{\left[\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\right]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}^{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Heat diffusion coefficient $\lambda$ in the computational domain.

Continuity of the solution is enforced over the artificial interface $\Lambda_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\nabla \cdot(\lambda \nabla T)-c T & =f & & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\lambda_{e x} \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}+\left(T-T_{e x}\right) & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}} \\
{[T]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}^{1} \\
{\left[\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\right]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}^{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Schematic of the composition of multiple overlapping meshes.

Continuity of the solution is enforced over the artificial interface $\Lambda_{1}$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
-\nabla \cdot\left(\lambda \nabla T_{0}\right)-c T_{0} & =f & \text { in } \Omega_{0}, \\
-\nabla \cdot\left(\lambda \nabla T_{1}\right)-c T_{1} & =f & \text { in } \Omega_{1}, \\
\lambda_{e x} \frac{\partial T_{0}}{\partial n}+\left(T_{0}-T_{e x}\right) & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}, \\
{[T]_{ \pm}} & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}^{1}, \\
{\left[\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\right]_{ \pm}} & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{\text {int }}^{1} \\
{[T]} & =0 & & \text { on } \Lambda_{1}, \\
{\left[\frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\right]} & =0 & & \text { on } \Lambda_{1} .
\end{array}
$$



Schematic of the composition of multiple overlapping meshes.

## We create a MultiCable in FEniCS by initializing the MultiMesh object and add a background mesh

| from dolfin import * |
| :---: |
| multimesh $=$ MultiMesh() |
| multimestradd(Mesh("outer cable xml")) |
| for $i$ in range(num_cables): |
| cable $=$ Mesh("inner_cable.xml") |
| \# Scale and move internal cables |
|  |
| multimesh.add (cable) |
| multimesh.build() |
| \# Create function space for temperature |
| $\mathrm{V}=$ MultiMeshFunctionSpace(multimesh, "CG", 1) |
| $T=$ MultiMeshFunction(V, name="Temperature") |
| $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}=$ TrialFunction(V), TestFunction(V) |
| \# Problem Specific variables |
| $\mathrm{f}=$ Expression("sin (x[0]*x[1])", degree=3) |
| lmb $=$ Expression ("...", degree=3) |
| T_ex, $c=3.2,0.04$ |
| alpha, beta $=4.0,4.0$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ FacetNormal (multimesh) |
| $\mathrm{h}=2.0 *$ Circumradius(multimesh) |
| $\mathrm{h}=\left(\mathrm{h}\left({ }^{\prime}+{ }^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{h}\left({ }^{\prime}-{ }^{\prime}\right)\right) / 2$ |
| $\mathrm{F}=\operatorname{inner}(\operatorname{lmb} * \operatorname{grad}(\mathrm{u}), \operatorname{grad}(\mathrm{v})) * \mathrm{dX}$ |
| $-f * v * d X-c * v * u * d X+\left(u-T \_e x\right) * v * d s$ |
| $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{F}+= & -\operatorname{inner}(\operatorname{avg}(\operatorname{lmb} * \operatorname{grad}(\mathrm{u})), \operatorname{jump}(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{n})) * \mathrm{dI} \\ & -\operatorname{inner}(\operatorname{avg}(\operatorname{lmb} * \operatorname{grad}(\mathrm{v})), \operatorname{jump}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{n})) * \mathrm{dI} \\ & +\operatorname{alpha} / \mathrm{h} * \operatorname{jump}(\mathrm{u}) * \operatorname{jump}(\mathrm{v}) * \mathrm{dI} \\ & +\operatorname{beta} * \operatorname{inner}(\operatorname{jump}(\operatorname{grad}(\mathrm{u})), \operatorname{jump}(\operatorname{grad}(\mathrm{v}))) * \text { d0 }\end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| solve(A, T.vector (), b, 'lu') |

 $\mathrm{b}=$ assemble_multimesh (rhs (F))

## We add multiple internal cables on top of the background cable

```
from dolfin import *
multimesh = MultiMesh()
multimesh.add(Mesh("outer_cable.xml"))
for i in range(num_cables):
    cable = Mesh("inner_cable.xml")
    multimesh.add (cable)
multmmech build()
# Create function space for temperature
V = MultiMeshFunctionSpace(multimesh, "CG", 1)
T = MultiMeshFunction(V, name="Temperature")
u,v = TrialFunction(V), TestFunction(V)
# Problem Specific variables
f= Expression("sin(x[0]*x[1])", degree=3)
lmb = Expression("...", degree=3)
T_ex, c = 3.2,0.04
alpha, beta = 4.0,4.0
n = FacetNormal(multimesh)
h =2.0*Circumradius(multimesh)
h}=(\textrm{h}('+') + h('-')) / 2
F}=\operatorname{inner}(\operatorname{lmb}*\operatorname{grad}(u),\operatorname{grad}(v))*dx
    -f*v*dX -c*v*u*dX+ (u-T_ex)*v*ds
F += - inner(avg(lmb*grad (u)), jump (v, n))*dI
    - inner(avg(lmb*grad(v)), jump(u, n))*dI
    + alpha/h*jump(u)*jump(v)*dI
    + beta*inner(jump(grad(u)), jump(grad(v)))*d0
# Assemble multimesh form
A = assemble_multimesh(lhs(F))
b = assemble_multimesh(rhs(F))
solve(A, T.vector(), b, 'lu')
```


## We add multiple internal cables on top of the background cable

```
from dolfin import *
multimesh = MultiMesh()
multimesh.add(Mesh("outer_cable.xml"))
for i in range(num_cables):
    cable = Mesh("inner_cable.xml")
    ##...
multlmeeh build()
# Create function space for temperature
V = MultiMeshFunctionSpace(multimesh, "CG", 1)
T = MultiMeshFunction(V, name="Temperature")
u,v = TrialFunction(V), TestFunction(V)
# Problem Specific variables
f= Expression("sin(x[0]*x[1])", degree=3)
lmb = Expression("...", degree=3)
T_ex, c = 3.2,0.04
alpha, beta = 4.0,4.0
n = FacetNormal(multimesh)
h =2.0*Circumradius(multimesh)
h}=(\textrm{h}('+') + h('-')) / 2
F}=\operatorname{inner}(\operatorname{lmb}*\operatorname{grad}(u),\operatorname{grad}(v))*dx
    -f*v*dX -c*v*u*dX+ (u-T_ex)*v*ds
F += - inner(avg(lmb*grad (u)), jump (v, n))*dI
    - inner(avg(lmb*grad(v)), jump(u, n))*dI
    + alpha/h*jump(u)*jump(v)*dI
    + beta*inner(jump(grad(u)), jump(grad(v)))*d0
# Assemble multimesh form
A = assemble_multimesh(lhs(F))
b = assemble_multimesh(rhs(F))
solve(A, T.vector(), b, 'lu')
```


## Nitsches method for weak enforcement of boundary conditions is used to obtain a stable finite element scheme

```
from dolfin import *
multimesh = MultiMesh()
multimesh.add(Mesh("outer_cable.xml"))
for i in range(num_cables):
    cable = Mesh("inner_cable.xml")
    # Scale and move internal cables
    # .....
    multimesh.add(cable)
multimesh.build()
# Create function space for temperature
V = MultiMeshFunctionSpace(multimesh, "CG", 1)
T = MultiMeshFunction(V, name="Temperature")
u,v = TrialFunction(V), TestFunction(V)
# Problem Specific variables
f = Expression("sin(x[0]*x[1])", degree=3)
lmb = Expression("...", degree=3)
T_ex, c = 3.2,0.04
alpha, beta = 4.0,4.0
n = FacetNormal(multimesh)
h =2.0*Circumradius(multimesh)
h}=(h(h)+h(-'))/
    inner(lmb*grad(u), grad(v))*dx \
            -f*v*dX -c*v*u*dX+(u-T_ex)*v*ds
F +=- Imes(avg(lmb*grad(u)), iump(v,n))
    - inner (avg(lmb*grad(v)), jump (u, n))*dI
    + alpha/h*jump(u)*jump(v)*dI \
    + beta*inner(jump(grad(u)), jump(grad(v)))*d0
# Assemble multimesh form
A = assemble_multimesh(lhs(F))
b = assemble_multimesh(rhs(F))
solve(A, T.vector(), b, 'lu')
```


## Nitsches method for weak enforcement of boundary conditions is used to obtain a stable finite element scheme

```
from dolfin import *
multimesh = MultiMesh()
multimesh.add(Mesh("outer_cable.xml"))
for i in range(num_cables):
    cable = Mesh("inner_cable.xml")
    # Scale and move internal cables
    # .....
    multimesh.add(cable)
multimesh.build()
# Create function space for temperature
V = MultiMeshFunctionSpace(multimesh, "CG", 1)
T = MultiMeshFunction(V, name="Temperature")
u,v = TrialFunction(V), TestFunction(V)
# Problem Specific variables
f = Expression("sin(x[0]*x[1])", degree=3)
lmb = Expression("...", degree=3)
T_ex, c = 3.2,0.04
alpha, beta = 4.0,4.0
n = FacetNormal(multimesh)
h =2.0*Circumradius(multimesh)
h = (h('+') + h('-')) / 2
F}=\operatorname{inner(lmb*grad}(u),\operatorname{grad}(v))*dX
E}=-\quad\mathrm{ - inner (avg(lmb*grad}(u)), jump (v, n))*dI
    - inner(avg(lmb*grad(v)), jump (u, n))*dI
    alpha/h*jump(u)*jump(v)*dI
    + beta*inner(fump(grad(u)), Jump(grad(v))) *d0
# Assemble multimesh form
A = assemble_multimesh(lhs(F))
b = assemble_multimesh(rhs(F))
solve(A, T.vector(), b, 'lu')
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =F_{s}(T, v)+F_{N}(T, v) \\
F_{s}(T, v) & =\sum_{i=0}^{1} \int_{\Omega_{i}} \lambda(\nabla T, \nabla v)-c T v-f v \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\int_{\Gamma \mathrm{ex}}\left(T_{0}-T^{\mathrm{ex}}\right) v \mathrm{~d} s=0 \\
F_{N}(T, v) & =-\left(\left\langle\lambda \mathbf{n}_{1} \cdot \nabla T\right\rangle,[v]\right)_{\Lambda_{1}} \\
& -\left(\left[T_{h}\right],\left\langle\lambda \mathbf{n}_{1} \cdot \nabla v\right\rangle\right)_{\Lambda_{1}}+\frac{\beta}{h}([T],[v])_{\Lambda_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

| Nitsche terms | Jump | Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F_{N}(u, v)$ | $[w]=w_{1}-w_{0}$ | $\langle w\rangle=\frac{w_{1}+w_{0}}{2}$ |  |

## Nitsches method for weak enforcement of boundary conditions is used to obtain a stable finite element scheme

```
from dolfin import *
multimesh = MultiMesh()
multimesh.add(Mesh("outer_cable.xml"))
for i in range(num_cables):
    cable = Mesh("inner_cable.xml")
    # Scale and move internal cables
    # .....
    multimesh.add(cable)
multimesh.build()
# Create function space for temperature
V = MultiMeshFunctionSpace(multimesh, "CG", 1)
T = MultiMeshFunction(V, name="Temperature")
u,v = TrialFunction(V), TestFunction(V)
# Problem Specific variables
f = Expression("sin(x[0]*x[1])", degree=3)
lmb = Expression("...", degree=3)
T_ex, c = 3.2,0.04
alpha, beta = 4.0,4.0
n = FacetNormal(multimesh)
h =2.0*Circumradius(multimesh)
h = (h('+') + h('-')) / 2
F}=\operatorname{inner(lmb*grad}(u),\operatorname{grad}(v))*dX
        -f*v*dX -c*v*u*dX+ (u-T_ex)*v*ds
F += - inner(avg(lmb*grad(u)), jump(v, n))*dI
    - inner(avg(lmb*grad(v)), jump(u, n))*dI
    + alpha/h*iumn(u)*iump(v)*dT
    + beta*inner(jump(grad(u)), jump(grad(v)))*d0
# Assemble multimesh form
A = assemble_multimesh(lhs(F))
b = assemble_multimesh(rhs(F))
solve(A, T.vector(), b, 'lu')
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =F_{s}(T, v)+F_{N}(T, v)+F_{O}(T, v) \\
F_{s}(T, v) & =\sum_{i=0}^{1} \int_{\Omega_{i}} \lambda(\nabla T, \nabla v)-c T v-f v \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\int_{\text {rex }}\left(T_{0}-T^{\mathrm{ex}}\right) v \mathrm{~d} s=0 \\
F_{N}(T, v) & =-\left(\left\langle\lambda \mathbf{n}_{1} \cdot \nabla T\right\rangle,[v]\right)_{\Lambda_{1}} \\
& -\left(\left[T_{h}\right],\left\langle\lambda \mathbf{n}_{1} \cdot \nabla v\right\rangle\right)_{\Lambda_{1}}+\frac{\beta}{h}([T],[v])_{\Lambda_{1}}, \\
F_{O}(T, v) & =([\nabla T],[\nabla v])_{\Omega_{h, 0} \cap \Omega_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

| Nitsche terms | Jump | Average | Stability on overlap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F_{N}(u, v)$ | $[w]=w_{1}-w_{0}$ | $\langle w\rangle=\frac{w_{1}+w_{0}}{2}$ | $F_{O}(u, v)$ |

## Shape-optimization With Overlapping Domains ${ }^{4}$

${ }^{4}$ Jørgen S. Dokken et al. "Shape Optimization on Multiple Meshes". In: Preparation ().

## Re-meshing guarantees good mesh-quality, but it is a very costly operation




## Re-meshing guarantees good mesh-quality, but it is a very costly operation




## Re-meshing guarantees good mesh-quality, but it is a very costly operation




## Deformation of the mesh is cheaper than re-meshing but degenerates for large changes




## Deformation of the mesh is cheaper than re-meshing but degenerates for large changes




## Deformation of the mesh is cheaper than re-meshing but degenerates for large changes




## Multiple overlapping meshes is very efficient and preserves mesh quality




## Multiple overlapping meshes is very efficient and preserves mesh quality




## Multiple overlapping meshes is very efficient and preserves mesh quality




We propose a new algorithm for solving PDE-constrained shape optimization problems

$$
\min _{u, \Omega} J(u, \Omega) \quad \text { s.t. } \quad E(u, \Omega)=0 \text {. }
$$

We propose a new algorithm for solving PDE-constrained shape optimization problems

$$
\min _{u, \Omega} J(u, \Omega) \quad \text { s.t. } \quad E(u, \Omega)=0
$$

Algorithm: Shape-optimization on multiple domains
Init : Domain composition $\hat{\Omega}^{0}=\cup_{i=0, \ldots N} \hat{\Omega}_{i}^{0}$
Param: $k=0$
while not converged do

end
Result: Optimized domain

We propose a new algorithm for solving PDE-constrained shape optimization problems

$$
\min _{u, \Omega} J(u, \Omega) \quad \text { s.t. } \quad E(u, \Omega)=0
$$

```
Algorithm: Shape-optimization on multiple domains
Init : Domain composition \(\hat{\Omega}^{0}=\cup_{i=0, \ldots N} \hat{\Omega}_{i}^{0}\)
Param: \(k=0\)
while not converged do
    Solve state equations on \(\hat{\Omega}^{k}\);
end
Result: Optimized domain
```

We propose a new algorithm for solving PDE-constrained shape optimization problems

$$
\min _{u, \Omega} J(u, \Omega) \quad \text { s.t. } \quad E(u, \Omega)=0
$$

```
Algorithm: Shape-optimization on multiple domains
Init : Domain composition \(\hat{\Omega}^{0}=\cup_{i=0, \ldots N} \hat{\Omega}_{i}^{0}\)
Param: \(k=0\)
while not converged do
    Solve state equations on \(\hat{\Omega}^{k}\);
    Compute the shape-derivatives \(\mathrm{d} J / \mathrm{d} \Omega\);
end
Result: Optimized domain
```

We propose a new algorithm for solving PDE-constrained shape optimization problems

$$
\min _{u, \Omega} J(u, \Omega) \quad \text { s.t. } \quad E(u, \Omega)=0
$$

```
Algorithm: Shape-optimization on multiple domains
Init : Domain composition \(\hat{\Omega}^{0}=\cup_{i=0, \ldots N} \hat{\Omega}_{i}^{0}\)
Param: \(k=0\)
while not converged do
    Solve state equations on \(\hat{\Omega}^{k}\);
    Compute the shape-derivatives \(\mathrm{d} J / \mathrm{d} \Omega\);
    Update the subdomains, \(\hat{\Omega}_{i}^{k+1}, i=0, \ldots N\);
end
Result: Optimized domain
```

We propose a new algorithm for solving PDE-constrained shape optimization problems

$$
\min _{u, \Omega} J(u, \Omega) \quad \text { s.t. } \quad E(u, \Omega)=0
$$

```
Algorithm: Shape-optimization on multiple domains
Init : Domain composition \(\hat{\Omega}^{0}=\cup_{i=0, \ldots N} \hat{\Omega}_{i}^{0}\)
Param: \(k=0\)
while not converged do
    Solve state equations on \(\hat{\Omega}^{k}\);
    Compute the shape-derivatives \(\mathrm{d} J / \mathrm{d} \Omega\);
    Update the subdomains, \(\hat{\Omega}_{i}^{k+1}, i=0, \ldots N\);
    Increment \(k\) and set \(\hat{\Omega}^{k}=\cup_{i=0, \ldots N} \hat{\Omega}_{i}^{k}\);
end
Result: Optimized domain
```

The solution of an optimization problem with three identical cables is an equilateral triangle

$$
\min _{\Omega, T} J(\Omega, T)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{3}|T|^{3} \mathrm{~d} x,
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\nabla \cdot(\lambda \nabla T)-c T & =f & & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial T}{\partial n}+\left(T-T_{a m b}\right) & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}} \\
{[T]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t} \\
{\left[\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\right]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}
\end{aligned}
$$



Three cables with the same thermal diffusivity.

The solution of an optimization problem with three identical cables is an equilateral triangle

$$
\min _{\Omega, T} J(\Omega, T)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{3}|T|^{3} \mathrm{~d} x,
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\nabla \cdot(\lambda \nabla T)-c T & =f & & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial T}{\partial n}+\left(T-T_{a m b}\right) & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}} \\
{[T]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t} \\
{\left[\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\right]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}
\end{aligned}
$$



Initial cable positioning and corresponding temperature.

The solution of an optimization problem with three identical cables is an equilateral triangle

$$
\min _{\Omega, T} J(\Omega, T)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{3}|T|^{3} \mathrm{~d} x,
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\nabla \cdot(\lambda \nabla T)-c T & =f & & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial T}{\partial n}+\left(T-T_{a m b}\right) & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}} \\
{[T]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t} \\
{\left[\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\right]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}
\end{aligned}
$$



Optimal cable distribution and temperature.

A benchmark problem in shape-optimization is the optimal shape of an obstacle in Stokes-flow
$\min _{(u, \Omega)}: J(\Omega)=\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} A$
subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta u+\nabla p & =0 \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
\nabla \cdot u & =0, \\
u & =0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{2}, \\
u & =u_{0} \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{3}, \\
p & =0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{4}, \\
C & =C_{0}, \\
\mathrm{Vol} & =\mathrm{Vol}_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Olivier Pironneau. "On optimum design in fluid mechanics". In: Journal of Fluid Mechanics 64.1 (1974), pp. 97-110.

We achieve the analytical shape, a rugby-ball with a 90 degree front and back angle ${ }^{5}$

$$
\min _{(u, \Omega)}: J(\Omega)=\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} A
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta u+\nabla p & =0 \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
\nabla \cdot u & =0, \\
u & =0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{2}, \\
u & =u_{0} \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{3}, \\
p & =0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{4}, \\
C & =C_{0}, \\
\mathrm{Vol} & =\mathrm{Vol}_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{5}$ Olivier Pironneau. "On optimum design in fluid mechanics". In: Journal of Fluid Mechanics 64.1 (1974), pp. 97-110.

## With multiple meshes, we can reduce the size of the mesh that has to be deformed



## With multiple meshes, we can reduce the size of the mesh that has to be deformed



## With multiple meshes, we can reduce the size of the mesh that has to be deformed



## Further work

- Extend the multiple mesh formulation to to time dependent problems such as the NS-equation.
- Use shape-optimization to optimize power-output of a tidal turbine farm.



## Further work

- Extend the multiple mesh formulation to to time dependent problems such as the NS-equation.
- Use shape-optimization to optimize power-output of a tidal turbine farm.

[islayenergytrust.org.uk/tidal-energy-project/]

Concluding, FEniCS is currently being extended to employ mixed-domain method and CUT-FEM, where the latter has been used for avoiding re-meshing in shape-optimization


This project is funded by the $\begin{aligned} & \text { The Resear } \\ & \text { of Norway }\end{aligned}$

Concluding, FEniCS is currently being extended to employ mixed-domain method and CUT-FEM, where the latter has been used for avoiding re-meshing in shape-optimization


Questions?
This project is funded by the $\begin{aligned} & \text { The Resear } \\ & \text { of Norway }\end{aligned}$

## This trend is clear for both finer and coarser meshes.



The shape-derivative of a functional constrained by PDEs is found with the adjoint method and shape-calculus

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{\Omega} J(u, \Omega) \text { s.t. } E(u, \Omega)=0, \\
\hat{j}(\Omega)=J(u(\Omega), \Omega)
\end{gathered}
$$

The shape-derivative of a functional constrained by PDEs is found with the adjoint method and shape-calculus

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{\Omega} J(u, \Omega) \text { s.t. } E(u, \Omega)=0, \\
\hat{j}(\Omega)=J(u(\Omega), \Omega)
\end{gathered}
$$

Lagrangian based adjoint equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(u, \Omega) & =J(u, \Omega)+(\lambda, E(u(\Omega), \Omega)) \\
\mathrm{d} \hat{J}(\Omega)[s] & =\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Omega}[s]=\frac{\partial J}{\partial \Omega}[s]+\left(\lambda, \frac{\partial E}{\partial \Omega}[s]\right), \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u} & =\frac{\partial J}{\partial u}[d]+\left(\lambda, \frac{\partial E}{\partial u}[d]\right)=0, \quad \forall d .
\end{aligned}
$$

A linear state equation yields an adjoint equation similar to the state equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{L}(u, \Omega)=J(u, \Omega)+(\lambda, E(u(\Omega), \Omega)) . \\
\frac{\partial J}{\partial u}[d]+\left(\lambda, \frac{\partial E}{\partial u}[d]\right)=0, \quad \forall d .
\end{gathered}
$$

A linear state equation yields an adjoint equation similar to the state equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{L}(u, \Omega)=J(u, \Omega)+(\lambda, E(u(\Omega), \Omega)) . \\
\frac{\partial J}{\partial u}[d]+\left(\lambda, \frac{\partial E}{\partial u}[d]\right)=0, \quad \forall d . \\
E(u)=A u+b, \\
\left(\lambda \frac{\partial E}{\partial u}[d]\right)=(\lambda, A d) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The shape-derivative is transformed into surface integrals with the Hadamard theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(u, \Omega) & =J(u, \Omega)+(\lambda, E(u(\Omega), \Omega)) . \\
\mathrm{d} \hat{J}(\Omega)[s] & =\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Omega}[s]=\frac{\partial J}{\partial \Omega}[s]+\left(\lambda, \frac{\partial E}{\partial \Omega}[s]\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem (Hadamard Theorem)

The shape-derivative is transformed into surface integrals with the Hadamard theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(u, \Omega) & =J(u, \Omega)+(\lambda, E(u(\Omega), \Omega)) \\
\mathrm{d} \hat{J}(\Omega)[s] & =\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Omega}[s]=\frac{\partial J}{\partial \Omega}[s]+\left(\lambda, \frac{\partial E}{\partial \Omega}[s]\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem (Hadamard Theorem)
Let $\hat{\jmath}$ be shape differentiable. Then the relation

$$
\mathrm{d} \hat{J}(\Omega)[V]=\int_{\Gamma}\langle V, n\rangle g \mathrm{~d} S
$$

holds for all vector fields.

We consider minimization of the temperature in current-carrying MultiCables as a first example

$$
\min _{\Omega, T} J(\Omega, T)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{3}|T|^{3} \mathrm{~d} x,
$$

## subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\nabla \cdot(\lambda \nabla T)-c T & =f & & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial T}{\partial n}+\left(T-T_{a m b}\right) & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}} \\
{[T]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}^{1}, \\
{\left[\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\right]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}^{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Three cables with the same thermal diffusivity.

We consider minimization of the temperature in current-carrying MultiCables as a first example

$$
\min _{\Omega, T} J(\Omega, T)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{3}|T|^{3} \mathrm{~d} x,
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\nabla \cdot(\lambda \nabla T)-c T & =f & & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial T}{\partial n}+\left(T-T_{a m b}\right) & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}} \\
{[T]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}^{1}, \\
{\left[\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\right]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}^{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Initial cable positioning and corresponding temperature.

We consider minimization of the temperature in current-carrying MultiCables as a first example

$$
\min _{\Omega, T} J(\Omega, T)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{3}|T|^{3} \mathrm{~d} x,
$$

## subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\nabla \cdot(\lambda \nabla T)-c T & =f & & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial T}{\partial n}+\left(T-T_{a m b}\right) & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}, \\
{[T]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}^{1}, \\
{\left[\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\right]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}^{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Optimal cable distribution and temperature.

The multiple meshes strong formulation has additional terms for continuity over the artificial interface $\Lambda_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\nabla \cdot(\lambda \nabla T)-c T & =f & & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\lambda_{\text {ex }} \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}+\left(T-T_{\text {ex }}\right) & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma^{\text {ex }}, \\
{[T]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{\text {int }}^{1}, \\
{\left[\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\right]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{\text {int }}^{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$



The multiple meshes strong formulation has additional terms for continuity over the artificial interface $\Lambda_{1}$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
-\nabla \cdot\left(\lambda \nabla T_{0}\right)-c T_{0} & =f & \text { in } \Omega_{0}, \\
-\nabla \cdot\left(\lambda \nabla T_{1}\right)-c T_{1} & =f & \text { in } \Omega_{1}, \\
\lambda_{e x} \frac{\partial T_{0}}{\partial n}+\left(T_{0}-T_{e x}\right) & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}, \\
{[T]_{ \pm}} & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}^{1}, \\
{\left[\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\right]_{ \pm}} & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{\text {int }}^{1} \\
{[u]} & =0 & & \text { on } \Lambda_{1}, \\
{\left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right]} & =0 & & \text { on } \Lambda_{1} .
\end{array}
$$



Nitsches method for weak enforcement of boundary conditions is used to obtain a stable finite element scheme

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =F_{s}(T, v) \\
F_{s}(T, v) & =\int_{\Omega} \lambda(\nabla T, \nabla v)-c T v-f v \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\int_{\Gamma \mathrm{ex}}\left(T-T^{\mathrm{ex}}\right) v \mathrm{~d} s=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Nitsches method for weak enforcement of boundary conditions is used to obtain a stable finite element scheme

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =F_{s}(T, v) \\
F_{s}(T, v) & =\sum_{i=0}^{1} \int_{\Omega_{i}} \lambda(\nabla T, \nabla v)-c T v-f v \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\int_{\Gamma \mathrm{ex}}\left(T_{0}-T^{\mathrm{ex}}\right) v \mathrm{~d} s=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Nitsches method for weak enforcement of boundary conditions is used to obtain a stable finite element scheme

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =F_{s}(T, v)+F_{N}(T, v) \\
F_{s}(T, v) & =\sum_{i=0}^{1} \int_{\Omega_{i}} \lambda(\nabla T, \nabla v)-c T v-f v \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\int_{\Gamma \mathrm{ex}}\left(T_{0}-T^{\mathrm{ex}}\right) v \mathrm{~d} s=0 \\
F_{N}(T, v) & =-\left(\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{1} \cdot \nabla T\right\rangle,[v]\right)_{\Lambda_{1}}-\left(\left[T_{h}\right],\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{1} \cdot \nabla v\right\rangle\right)_{\Lambda_{1}}+\frac{\beta}{h}([T],[v])_{\Lambda_{1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

| Nitsche terms | Jump | Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F_{N}(u, v)$ | $[w]=w_{1}-w_{0}$ | $\langle w\rangle=\frac{w_{1}+w_{0}}{2}$ |  |

Nitsches method for weak enforcement of boundary conditions is used to obtain a stable finite element scheme

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =F_{s}(T, v)+F_{N}(T, v)+F_{O}(T, v) \\
F_{s}(T, v) & =\sum_{i=0}^{1} \int_{\Omega_{i}} \lambda(\nabla T, \nabla v)-c T v-f v \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\int_{\Gamma \mathrm{ex}}\left(T_{0}-T^{\mathrm{ex}}\right) v \mathrm{~d} s=0 \\
F_{N}(T, v) & =-\left(\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{1} \cdot \nabla T\right\rangle,[v]\right)_{\Lambda_{1}}-\left(\left[T_{h}\right],\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{1} \cdot \nabla v\right\rangle\right)_{\Lambda_{1}}+\frac{\beta}{h}([T],[v])_{\Lambda_{1}}, \\
F_{O}(T, v) & =([\lambda \nabla T],[\nabla v])_{\Omega_{h, 0} \cap \Omega_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

| Nitsche terms | Jump | Average | Stability on overlap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F_{N}(u, v)$ | $[w]=w_{1}-w_{0}$ | $\langle w\rangle=\frac{w_{1}+w_{0}}{2}$ | $F_{O}(u, v)$ |

The implementation of the shape-gradient is verified with a Taylor-test


A first example is three internal cables with the same material properties

$$
\min _{\Omega, T} J(\Omega, T)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{3}|T|^{3} \mathrm{~d} x,
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\nabla \cdot(\lambda \nabla T)-c T & =f & & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial T}{\partial n}+\left(T-T_{a m b}\right) & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}} \\
{[T]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{\text {int }}^{1} \\
{\left[\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\right]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{\text {int }}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$



Three cables with the same thermal diffusivity.

A first example is three internal cables with the same material properties

$$
\min _{\Omega, T} J(\Omega, T)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{3}|T|^{3} \mathrm{~d} x,
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\nabla \cdot(\lambda \nabla T)-c T & =f & & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial T}{\partial n}+\left(T-T_{a m b}\right) & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}} \\
{[T]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}^{1} \\
{\left[\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\right]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$



Initial cable positioning and corresponding temperature.

A first example is three internal cables with the same material properties

$$
\min _{\Omega, T} J(\Omega, T)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{3}|T|^{3} \mathrm{~d} x,
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\nabla \cdot(\lambda \nabla T)-c T & =f & & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial T}{\partial n}+\left(T-T_{a m b}\right) & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}} \\
{[T]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}^{1}, \\
{\left[\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\right]_{ \pm} } & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{i n t}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$



Optimal cable distribution and temperature.

Optimization of a more complex example with 5 cables with different currents and sizes


The state-equation has been implemented in FEniCS and verified by the method of manufactured solutions

| MultiMesh |  |  |  | SingleMesh |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mesh size | $L^{2}$-error | Rate | Mesh size | $L^{2}$-error | Rate |  |
| 0.059 | $2.41 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 2.116 | 0.062 | $2.27 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 2.008 |  |
| 0.030 | $5.92 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 2.067 | 0.031 | $5.65 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 2.003 |  |
| 0.015 | $1.46 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 2.055 | 0.016 | $1.41 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 2.002 |  |
| 0.008 | $3.68 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 1.999 | 0.008 | $3.52 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 2.001 |  |

Table: Convergence rates of a manufactured Poisson problem.
Comparison between MultiMesh and the same mesh described as a single mesh approximated by piece-wise continuous linear elements.

The adjoint system for overlapping meshes has the same stabilization at the artificial interface as the state equation at the interface

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\sum_{i=0}^{N}(\lambda \nabla p, \nabla v)_{\Omega_{i}}-(c p, v)_{\Omega_{i}}\right) \\
& +\left(\alpha^{\prime}(T)\left(T-T^{\mathrm{ex}}\right) p, v\right)_{\mathrm{rex}^{2}}+(\alpha(T) p, v)_{\mathrm{rex}^{2}}=-\sum_{i=0}^{N}(T|T|, v)_{\Omega_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The adjoint system for overlapping meshes has the same stabilization at the artificial interface as the state equation at the interface

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\sum_{i=0}^{N}(\lambda \nabla p, \nabla v)_{\Omega_{i}}-(c p, v)_{\Omega_{i}}\right) \\
& +\left(\alpha^{\prime}(T)\left(T-T^{\mathrm{ex}}\right) p, v\right)_{\Gamma \mathrm{ex}}+(\alpha(T) p, v)_{\Gamma \mathrm{ex}} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(-\left(\left\langle\lambda \mathrm{n}_{i} \cdot \nabla p,\right\rangle[v]\right)_{\Lambda_{i}}-\left([p],\left\langle\lambda \mathbf{n}_{i} \cdot \nabla v\right\rangle\right)_{\Lambda_{i}}+\left(\frac{\beta}{h}[p],[v]\right)_{\Lambda_{i}}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{i=0}^{N}(T|T|, v)_{\Omega_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The adjoint system for overlapping meshes has the same stabilization at the artificial interface as the state equation at the interface

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\sum_{i=0}^{N}(\lambda \nabla p, \nabla v)_{\Omega_{i}}-(c p, v)_{\Omega_{i}}\right) \\
& +\left(\alpha^{\prime}(T)\left(T-T^{\mathrm{ex}}\right) p, v\right)_{\Gamma \mathrm{ex}}+(\alpha(T) p, v)_{\Gamma \mathrm{ex}} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(-\left(\left\langle\lambda \mathbf{n}_{i} \cdot \nabla p,\right\rangle[v]\right)_{\Lambda_{i}}-\left([p],\left\langle\lambda \mathbf{n}_{i} \cdot \nabla v\right\rangle\right)_{\Lambda_{i}}+\left(\frac{\beta}{h}[p],[v]\right)_{\Lambda_{i}}\right. \\
& \left.+([\lambda \nabla p],[\nabla v])_{\Omega_{h, 0} \cap \Omega_{i}}\right)=-\sum_{i=0}^{N}(T|T|, v)_{\Omega_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A Laplacian deformation scheme is not suited for large deformations

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta w & =0 \text { in } \Omega \\
w & =d \cdot n \text { on } \Gamma \\
w & =0 \text { on } \partial \Omega \backslash \Gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$



| 「 | $d \cdot n$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Moving Boundary | Deformation |

The Eikonal convection equation ensures better mesh-quality

$$
\begin{gathered}
-h \Delta \epsilon_{1}+\left\|\nabla \epsilon_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}=1 \text { in } \Omega, \\
\epsilon_{1}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega \backslash \Gamma
\end{gathered}
$$



| 「 | $\epsilon_{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Moving Boundary | Dist. to $\Gamma$ |  |

The Eikonal convection equation ensures better mesh-quality

$$
\begin{aligned}
-h \Delta \epsilon_{1} & +\left\|\nabla \epsilon_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}=1 \text { in } \Omega, \\
\epsilon_{1} & =0 \text { on } \partial \Omega \backslash \Gamma \\
-h \Delta \epsilon_{2} & +\left\|\nabla \epsilon_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}=1 \text { in } \Omega, \\
\epsilon_{2} & =0 \text { on } \Gamma
\end{aligned}
$$



| $\Gamma$ | $\epsilon_{1}$ | $\epsilon_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moving Boundary | Dist. to $\Gamma$ | Dist. to $\partial \Omega \backslash$. |

The Eikonal convection equation ensures better mesh-quality

$$
\begin{aligned}
-h \Delta \epsilon_{1} & +\left\|\nabla \epsilon_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}=1 \text { in } \Omega, \\
\epsilon_{1} & =0 \text { on } \partial \Omega \backslash \Gamma \\
-h \Delta \epsilon_{2} & +\left\|\nabla \epsilon_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}=1 \text { in } \Omega, \\
\epsilon_{2} & =0 \text { on } \Gamma \\
-\alpha \epsilon_{2}^{2} \Delta w & +\operatorname{div}\left(\epsilon_{1} w \otimes \nabla \epsilon_{2}\right)=0 \\
w & =d \cdot n \text { on } \Gamma \\
w & =0 \text { on } \partial \Omega \backslash \Gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$



| $\Gamma$ | $\epsilon_{1}$ | $\epsilon_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moving Boundary | Dist. to $\Gamma$ | Dist. to $\partial \Omega \backslash \Gamma$. |

In the discrete case, the solution of a state equation $u$ is dependent of volume nodes


$$
J(u(\Omega), \Omega)=\int_{\Omega} u^{2} \mathrm{~d} \Omega
$$

In the discrete case, the solution of a state equation $u$ is dependent of volume nodes


$$
\begin{aligned}
J(u(\Omega), \Omega) & =\int_{\Omega} u^{2} \mathrm{~d} \Omega \\
\mathrm{~d} J(u(\Omega), \Omega)[V] & =\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \Omega}\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{2} \mathrm{~d} \Omega\right)[V] \\
V & =\text { Displacement function }
\end{aligned}
$$

The new strong formulation now has additional terms for continuity over the artificial interface $\Lambda_{1}$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
-\Delta u_{i} & =f \text { in } \Omega_{i}, \quad i=0,1, & \Lambda_{0}=\dot{\partial} \Omega \\
u_{1}+\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial n} & =1 \text { on } \Gamma, & & \Omega_{0} \\
u_{0}+\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial n} & =1 \text { on } \partial \Omega & \\
{[u]} & =0 \text { on } \Lambda_{1}, & \\
{\left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right]} & =\text { on } \Lambda_{1}, & & \\
\Omega_{1} &
\end{array}
$$

We need several extra terms to obtain a stable Finite Element scheme

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =a_{s}(u, v)-l_{s}(v) \\
a_{s}(u, v) & =(\nabla u, \nabla v)_{\Omega}+(u, v)_{\partial \Omega}+(u, v)_{\ulcorner } \\
l_{s}(v) & =(f, v)_{\Omega}+(1, v)_{\partial \Omega}+(1, v)_{\Gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

We need several extra terms to obtain a stable Finite Element scheme

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =a_{s}(u, v)-I_{s}(v) \\
a_{s}(u, v) & =\sum_{i=0}^{1}\left[(\nabla u, \nabla v)_{\Omega_{i}}\right]+\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)_{\partial \Omega}+\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)_{\Gamma} \\
l_{s}(v) & =\sum_{i=0}^{1}(f, v)_{\Omega_{i}}+\left(1, v_{0}\right)_{\partial \Omega}+\left(1, v_{1}\right)_{\Gamma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We need several extra terms to obtain a stable Finite Element scheme

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =a_{s}(u, v)-l_{s}(v) \\
a_{s}(u, v) & =\sum_{i=0}^{1}\left[(\nabla u, \nabla v)_{\Omega_{i}}\right]+\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)_{\partial \Omega}+\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)_{\Gamma}, \\
I_{s}(v) & =\sum_{i=0}^{1}(f, v)_{\Omega_{i}}+\left(1, v_{0}\right)_{\partial \Omega}+\left(1, v_{1}\right)_{\Gamma} . \\
a_{N}(u, v) & =-\left(\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{1} \cdot \nabla u\right\rangle,[v]\right)_{\Lambda_{1}}-\left(\left[u_{h}\right],\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{1} \cdot \nabla v\right\rangle\right)_{\Lambda_{1}}+\frac{\beta}{h}([u],[v])_{\Lambda_{1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

| Nitsche terms | Jump | Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{N}(u, v)$ | $[w]=w_{1}-w_{0}$ | $\langle w\rangle=\frac{w_{1}+w_{0}}{2}$ |  |

We need several extra terms to obtain a stable Finite Element scheme

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =a_{s}(u, v)-I_{s}(v) \\
a_{s}(u, v) & =\sum_{i=0}^{1}\left[(\nabla u, \nabla v)_{\Omega_{i}}\right]+\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)_{\partial \Omega}+\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)_{\Gamma}, \\
I_{s}(v) & =\sum_{i=0}^{1}(f, v)_{\Omega_{i}}+\left(1, v_{0}\right)_{\partial \Omega}+\left(1, v_{1}\right)_{\Gamma} . \\
a_{N}(u, v) & =-\left(\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{1} \cdot \nabla u\right\rangle,[v]\right)_{\Lambda_{1}}-\left(\left[u_{h}\right],\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{1} \cdot \nabla v\right\rangle\right)_{\Lambda_{1}}+\frac{\beta}{h}([u],[v])_{\Lambda_{1}}, \\
a_{O}(u, v) & =([\nabla u],[\nabla v])_{\Omega_{h, 0} \cap \Omega_{1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

| Nitsche terms | Jump | Average | Stability on overlap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{N}(u, v)$ | $[w]=w_{1}-w_{0}$ | $\langle w\rangle=\frac{w_{1}+w_{0}}{2}$ | $a_{O}(u, v)$ |


[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ August Johansson et al. "Finite Element Methods for Arbitrary Many Intersecting Meshes: Multimesh". In: Preparation ().

