

UNCERTAINTY MODELING (UM) – RFI PRESENTATION AND VOTING FOR RFI ISSUANCE

Tao Yue, <u>tao@simula.no</u> <u>Shaukat Ali</u>, <u>shaukat@simula.no</u> Bran Selic, <u>bselic@simula.no</u>

Simula Research Laboratory, Norway

OMG Meeting Chicago, September 2016

UM MOTIVATION

Uncertainty is inherent

- Complex systems (e.g., CPSs) tend to operate in highly dynamic and highly complex environments
- It is infeasible to uncover or predict all possible events or circumstances that such systems will encounter.
- Understanding uncertainty and mitigate the negative impact of uncertainty is possible, as long as:

Uncertainty is explicitly identified and characterized.

 E.g., testing strategies can be directed to focus on aspects where the level of uncertainty is high.

UM OBJECTIVES

- Face Uncertainty
- Understand Uncertainty
- Specify/Model Uncertainty
 - Identify, categories and quantify it.
 - Associate uncertainty with other artefacts such as requirements, etc.
- Integrate with other OMG specifications
 VUML, SysML, MARTE, etc.

BACKGROUND - STANDARDIZATION

- Investigated ISO, IEEE, IEC, JCGM, OMG, ETSI and OASIS and results are:
 - ISO/IEC 61508, OMG SysML and MARTE all define the concept of Probability.
 - ✓ OMG SysML defines the **Distribution** concept.
 - OMG SACM defines Evidence, Confidence and Confidence Level.
 - ISO/IEC and JCGM defined few standards on Uncertainty Measurement.
 - ✓ ISO 31000 defines the concept of **Uncertainty**.
- Conclusion:
 - There does yet not exist an OMG technology recommendation that
 can characterize uncertainty both subjectively and objectively.

RFI OBJECTIVES

- Solicit ideas, discussions, comments, recommendations, user needs and experiences about uncertainty modelling
- Particularly focusing on
 - ✓ identifying use cases
 - integrating with various OMG standards (e.g., SysML, UML, MARTE, UTP, BPMN).

USE CASES - STANDARDIZATION

Potential use cases:

- Specifying uncertainty requirements as use case models
- Modelling uncertainties as part of SysML, UML, or BPMN models
- ✓ Exchange model libraries of uncertainty measurement with SysML
- Modelling uncertainties with an independent modelling notation
- Uncertainty models could then be used for performing analyses
 - E.g., discovering unanticipated uncertainties
- Uncertainty models could then be used for generating artifacts
 - E.g., generating test cases
- This RFI aims to identify more use cases!

RFI - OBJECTIVE

 This RFI seeks information regarding requirements for uncertainty modelling.

RFI - QUESTIONS

- Are you aware of uncertainties that are relevant to your work?
- To which extent, do you think you understand these uncertainties?
- Is explicit addressing of uncertainties important? If yes, why? If not, why not?

RFI - QUESTIONS

- Do you deal with uncertainties when developing your system/software?
 - If yes, in which phase of the development lifecycle do you deal with uncertainties?
 - ✓ If yes, do you rely on any existing specification/modelling solution, or is it more or less ad-hoc?
 - If yes, do you perform any uncertainty related analysis or artefact (e.g., test) generation? Is this automated?

RFI - QUESTIONS

- If there were to be a standard for uncertainty modelling,
 - What kinds of use cases for this can you envisage?
 - What kinds of integrations with existing standards would be needed?
 - What would be the preferred implementation format of uncertainty modelling for your use cases? Possible options include a UML profile, MOF-based metamodel or both.

RFI RESPONSES

- The information of the responses will be ultimately used to:
 - identify the requirements of the industry in terms of uncertainty modelling,
 - develop an RFP for an uncertainty modelling specification,
 - ✓ issue the RFP (if possible) in time for the OMG Technical Meeting in March 2017 (or June 2017).

TIMELINE OF STANDARDIZING UNCERTAINTY MODELING

- Issuing RFI September 2016
- Responses due February 10, 2017
- Issuing RFP March 2017 (or June 2017)
- Initial submission
- Revised submission
- Finalization