# The Unified Form Language – UFL

A domain specific language for finite element methods

#### **Martin Sandve Alnæs**

Center for Biomedical Computing, Simula Research Laboratory, Oslo, Norway

> June 5th, 2012 FEniCS'12

```
J = (u-d)**2*dx + alpha*v**2*dx

a = dot(grad(u), grad(w))*dx

L = J + a

Lw = derivative(J, w)

Lwu = derivative(Lw, u)
```





#### **Overview of talk**

- Equation complexity (what kind of scalability)
- Expression architecture
- Automatic simplifications
- Profiling (techniques and optimizations applied recently)
- Scalable compilation (of complex tensor algebra expressions)
- Outlook





#### **Equation complexity**

Expression architecture

Automatic simplifications

Profiling

Scalable compilation

# UFL is a DSL, a symbolic framework, and a compiler frontend for FEniCS (and other libs)

#### Example UFL expressions:

```
1  a = inner(dot(grad(u), M), grad(v))
2  b = M[i,j] * u[k].dx(i) * v[k].dx(j)
```

- ▶ By expression complexity I mean roughly the number of values and operators in an expression, denoted n.
- ▶ Goal: Want both time and memory usage in UFL and overall form compilation process to be O(n), i.e. linear in the expression complexity.





# Example: Hyperelasticity equations(1/2), taken from DOLFIN demo directory

```
cell = tetrahedron
   V = VectorElement("Lagrange", cell, 1)
3
   du = TrialFunction(V) # Incremental displacement
   v = TestFunction(V)
                             # Test function
6
   u = Coefficient(V)
                             # Displacement from previous iteration
   B = Coefficient(V)
                             # Body force per unit volume
   T = Coefficient(V)
                           # Traction force on the boundary
   # Elasticity parameters
10
         = Constant(cell)
   mu
11
   lmbda = Constant(cell)
12
```





# Example: Hyperelasticity equations(2/2), taken from DOLFIN demo directory

```
# Kinematics
2 I = Identity(cell.d)
                                 # Identity tensor
3 \mid F = I + qrad(u)
                                    # Deformation gradient
C = F.T*F
                                    # Right Cauchy-Green tensor
5 # Invariants of deformation tensors
6 |Ic = tr(C); J = det(F)
7 | # Stored strain energy density (compressible neo-Hookean model)
   psi = (mu/2)*(Ic - 3) - mu*ln(J) + (lmbda/2)*(ln(J))**2
  # Total potential energy
   Pi = psi*dx - inner(B, u)*dx - inner(T, u)*ds
10
11
   # First variation of Pi (directional derivative
12
   # about u in the direction of v)
13
   F = derivative(Pi, u, v)
14
15
   J = derivative(F, u, du)
```





Equation complexity

Expression architecture

Automatic simplifications

Profiling

Scalable compilation

### **Expression architecture overview**

- Class hierarchy basics, typical symbolic expression tree.
- Immutable objects are important!
- Arbitrary nesting of tensor algebra and index notation.
- Running id count of indices and functions.
- Form signatures to avoid regenerating code.
- ► Fast O(1) hash and eq operators for use as keys in dict and set.





Equation complexity

Expression architecture

Automatic simplifications

Profiling

Scalable compilation

### **Automatic simplifications overview**

- Basic simplifications at expression node construction time reduce expression growth during algorithms: any scalar operator(scalar literals) -> scalar literal 1\*a -> a 0\*b -> 0 a + 0 -> a as\_tensor(A[i,j], (i,j)) -> A
- ► Simplifications central to keep differentiation algorithm output from growing: d/dx (x \* g(y)) = 1 \* g + x \* 0 -> g
- Basic canonical term ordering at expression node construction time a\*b -> a\*b, b\*a -> a\*b, a+b -> a+b, b+a -> a+b,
- Not doing simplifications requiring deeper inspection of operands, e.g. 2\*a + -2\*a -> 0 2\*a + 3\*a -> 5\*a as\_tensor(A[i,j], (j,i))[k,l] -> A[l,k]
- ▶ Definitely not doing unsafe polynomial rewriting (a + b) + (c) != a + (b + c) in floating point (u-v)\*\*2 -> (u\*\*2 -







Equation complexity

Expression architecture

Automatic simplifications

#### Profiling

Scalable compilation

## **Profiling overview**

- Time profiling with simple Identify heavy algorithms. Get rid of renumber\_indices. See that expand\_indices is the main bottleneck.
- Memory usage of python objects. Use slots! Found a bug.
- Memory profiling with heapy. Identify which classes to remove member variables from.
- ▶ UFL expr node counting. Identify need for caching literal value objects. Identify which classes to optimize Identify explosion of certain types of expression objects in certain algorithms (expand\_indices). Identify that v.dx(i) != v.dx(j), but grad(v) == grad(v). Fix in AD.





# Profiling time usage from ipython to identify heavy algorithms

#### %run -p myscript.py

► See that expand\_indices is the main bottleneck in current quadrature loop based form compilers. This algorithm rewrites outer(u,v) -> [u[0]\*v[0], u[1]\*v[0], ...] etc.





## Memory usage of python objects

- sys.getsizeof(obj) gives bytesize of obj
- Use \_\_slots\_\_ feature to drop \_\_dict\_\_, which is 280 b alone when empty!
- Still, a single object is 48 b + 8 per member!
- Rough profiling of heap usage: from guppy import hpy; hp=hpy(); print hp.heap()
- Counting objects of each Expr subtype in Expr con-/destructor
- ▶ Introduced e.g. reuse of literal value objects.

For one complex case, reduced memory usage in UFL with 10x!





Equation complexity

Expression architecture

Automatic simplifications

Profiling

Scalable compilation

#### Scalable compilation (1/3)

- Value numbering crossing boundaries of tensor and indexing operations. Sees right through any number of levels of indirection. Backside is that this makes the expression less regular.
- Reconstructing scalar subexpressions, triggering UFL simplifications at the scalar level and thus constant propagation and dead code elimination at the symbolic level.





#### Scalable compilation (2/3)

- Identifying dependency counts on resulting flat scalar DAG representation.
- Identifying candidates for subexpressions to store in intermediate variables in generated code. Tunable heuristics, consider dependency count and operation cost.





#### Scalable compilation (3/3)

- Partitioning DAG by loop level required for each subexpression (inside quadrature or test/trial function loops)
- ► For each scalar subexpression, generate C++ expression, emit assignment statement if candidate for intermediate storing.





Equation complexity

Expression architecture

Automatic simplifications

Profiling

Scalable compilation

#### **Questions?**

- Compilation algorithms available in the experimental UFLACS project: http://www.launchpad.net/uflacs
- ► First proof of concept: *soon* possible to use with DOLFIN through SFC.
- Should probably integrate into FFC. Need some help with that.
- Can use to generate element tensor kernels for other FEM libraries!
- martinal@simula.no



